

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,588	ITKIS ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Rob Phillips, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 July 2009

Time: P.M.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NF, 09-18-2008

Claims discussed:

80-86

Prior art documents discussed:

Franchi (5,770,533 A); Zach (5,954,582 A); Angell (6,702,672 B1).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. D. H./
 Examiner, Art Unit 3714

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner had consulted with SPE Peter Vo on 06-25-2009. The examiner believed that the claims would be allowable if the new limitation concerning the random encryption key generated for each dispensing of the device and printed on the receipt was clarified to show how it interacts with the other claim limitations. The new limitation is discussed on pages 16, 23, & 24 of the spec. The examiner called atty Rob Phillips on 06-25-2009 to request him to submit proposed claims to this effect. Mr. Phillips submitted an amendment that the encryption key can be manually entered in the lieu of being transmitted; the key is used for subsequent transmissions between the controller and the gaming device. These claims were entered as a preliminary amendment on 06-29-2009. The examiner received verbal permission to remove "bank" from claim 81 on 07-02-2009.